

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/383,054	08/25/1999	DAVID A. EDWARDS	AIR-108PA	6042
21005 7	590 05/28/2003			
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C.			EXAMINER	
530 VIRGINI <i>A</i> P.O. BOX 913	-	PULLIAM, AMY E		
CONCORD, M	1A 01742-9133		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	22
			DATE MAILED: 05/28/2003	25

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

A 1: 41 A		T	_
Application No.		Applicant(s)	
09/383,054		EDWADDO ET	
09/303,034		EDWARDS ET AL.	
Examiner		Art Unit	
Amy E Pulliam		1615	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 14 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

	Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
	a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection
	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
	Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 14 May 2003. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
l	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
ĺ	(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
	(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
	(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
l	(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .
	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
	5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
	6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
	7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>50-69,91-108,128-131</u> .
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
	8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
!	9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
1	0. Other:
_	



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant has amended the claims to more restrictive language. The independent claims now use consisting of language for the particles, rather than the consisting essentially of language used earlier in prosecution. However, this amendment greatly changes the scope of the current claims, and requires further search and/ or consideration to consider the allowability of the claims. The exmainer has not searched the claims with this more narrow, restrictive language.

THURMAN K. PAGE SUPERVISUAY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600